Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/044,483	BOYLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paresh Patel	2829
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) Paresh Patel.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Laut</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 09/09/2004 Examiner initiated the phone call to Mr. Laut for canceling claims 1, 2-4 and 6-21 (wherein independent claim 1 was amended) so claims 5 and 20-25 can be allowed (amended independent claim 5 now includes the allowable subject matter, as stated in the last office action) for the compact prosecution. Examiner also suggested that there might be a possible restriction or final rejection if applicant fails to cancel above claims. On 10/14/2004 Mr. Laut called the Examiner Patel to confirm the cancellation of the above claims so claim 5 and its dependent claims can be allowed. .